

Kinetic Ising System in an Oscillating External Field: Stochastic Resonance and Residence-Time Distributions

S.W. Sides,^{*†} R.A. Ramos,^{*†°} P.A. Rikvold,^{*†} and M.A. Novotny ^{†‡}

^{*}*Center for Materials Research and Technology and Department of Physics, and*

[†]*Supercomputer Computations Research Institute,*

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306-3016

[°]*Department of Physics, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR 00681*

[‡]*Department of Electrical Engineering*

FAMU-FSU College of Engineering, Tallahassee, Florida 32310-6046

Abstract

Experimental, analytical, and numerical results suggest that the mechanism by which a uniaxial single-domain ferromagnet switches after sudden field reversal depends on the field magnitude and the system size. Here we report new results on how these distinct decay mechanisms influence hysteresis in a two-dimensional nearest-neighbor kinetic Ising model. We present theoretical predictions supported by numerical simulations for the frequency dependence of the probability distributions for the hysteresis-loop area and the period-averaged magnetization, and for the residence-time distributions. The latter suggest evidence of stochastic resonance for small systems in moderately weak oscillating fields.

PACS number(s): 75.60.Ej, 75.10.Hk, 75.40.Mg, 64.60.My

The frequency dependence of hysteresis-loop areas, $A = - \oint m dH$, for ultrathin ferromagnetic Fe/Au(001) films¹ appear consistent with numerical and theoretical studies of kinetic Ising and mean-field models.^{2–5} These mean-field models exhibit a dynamic phase transition at a critical frequency above which the period-averaged magnetization, $Q = (\omega/2\pi) \oint m dt$, becomes nonzero.^{4,5} It has been suggested that an analogous transition occurs in spatially extended systems,^{2,3} and the results of Ref. 1 indicate that it may be experimentally relevant when spin-waves do not contribute significantly to the switching dynamics.

Theoretical arguments and numerical simulations indicate the existence of distinct parameter regimes in which a uniaxial single-domain ferromagnet, following instantaneous field reversal, should switch to the stable magnetization direction, either by nucleation of a *single* droplet of the stable phase [the single-droplet (SD) regime] or by simultaneous nucleation and growth of *many* droplets [the multi-droplet (MD) regime].^{6,7} The SD regime corresponds to moderately weak fields and/or small systems, whereas the MD regime corresponds to moderately strong fields and/or larger systems.

Here we present new results from our study of the effects of the SD and MD decay mechanisms on hysteresis.⁸ We are not aware that these effects have been systematically studied by other groups. We present data from MC simulations for the Q and A *probability distributions* to highlight novel behavior in the response to a time-dependent field. We give a theoretical fit for the frequency dependence of the hysteresis loop area, which takes into account knowledge about the dynamics of metastable decay and is applicable to a wide range of frequencies of the external field. We also give a theoretical expression for the residence time distribution (RTD) that agrees well with the simulation data, and we show how the frequency dependence of the RTD suggests the presence of stochastic resonance. A full account of our methods and results will be given elsewhere.⁹

The model used in our study is a kinetic, nearest-neighbor Ising ferromagnet on a square lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The Hamiltonian is given by $\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} s_i s_j - H(t) \sum_i s_i$, where $\sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ runs over all nearest-neighbor pairs, and $\sum_i$ runs over all $N = L^2$ lattice sites. The ferromagnetic exchange coupling is $J > 0$ and $H(t)$ is a time-dependent external field. The dynamic used is the Glauber single-spin flip Monte Carlo algorithm, with updates at randomly chosen sites. This is defined by the spin-flip probability¹⁰ $W(s_i \rightarrow -s_i) = \exp(-\beta \Delta E_i) / (1 + \exp(-\beta \Delta E_i))$, where ΔE_i gives the change in the energy of the system if the spin flip is accepted, and $\beta^{-1} = k_B T$ is the temperature in energy units. Time is given in units of Monte Carlo steps per spin (MCSS). The *lifetime* of the metastable phase in a field of magnitude $|H|$, $\tau(|H|)$, is defined as the average time it takes the magnetization to reach zero, following instantaneous field reversal. The frequency of the sinusoidal applied field, $H(t) = -H_o \sin(\omega t)$, is chosen by specifying the ratio R of its period to the metastable lifetime, $R = \frac{(2\pi/\omega)}{\tau(H=H_o)}$.

In our simulations, a square system of side $L=64$ at $T=0.8T_c$ is prepared with $m(0)=0$ with the spins in a random arrangement. Then the sinusoidal $H(t)$ is applied, and the magnetization $m(t)$ is recorded for 1.69×10^7 MCSS. The field amplitude used for the simulations in the MD regime is $H_o=0.3J$ ($\tau=75$ MCSS) and in the SD regime it is $H_o=0.1J$ ($\tau=2000$ MCSS). For the values of L and T used in these simulations, the field strength corresponding to the crossover between these two regimes is approximately $0.11J$.

Figure 1 shows the probability distributions of the period-averaged magnetization Q , for several values of R . In the MD regime the shape changes from bimodal to unimodal between

$R=3$ and 4, possibly indicating a dynamic phase transition.^{4,5} The symmetric, bimodal shape indicates that the sign of Q changes many times over the course of a simulation run. Plots of $m(t)$ show that this switching occurs slowly, over several periods of $H(t)$.⁸ In the SD regime, the probability distributions for all values of R , except for the very largest, are bimodal with sharp peaks near ± 1 . This indicates that $m(t)$ remains near ± 1 for many consecutive periods, punctuated by abrupt switching events. A unimodal distribution in the SD regime would require even larger values of R than shown here.

We have also calculated the probability distributions for the hysteresis-loop area A in the MD regime for $R=2$ through 200, corresponding to frequencies of 6.7×10^{-3} through 6.7×10^{-5} MCSS⁻¹. The widths of these distributions, as well as the mean values, depend on R , with narrow distributions for small and large R and wider distributions at intermediate R . The mean values and standard deviations are plotted versus frequency in Fig. 2. Note that the maximum in the standard deviation of A occurs in a frequency range close to where a dynamic transition for Q in the MD regime may be located. The solid curve is the result of a calculation using expressions for the volume of droplets of the stable phase in a time-dependent field, based on Avrami's law.¹¹ These equations are numerically integrated to give $m(t)$, which is then used to calculate the integral in the definition of A . The fit uses three parameters. Two of these parameters are obtained from field-reversal simulations that measure τ . The third parameter is estimated from measurements of τ in a time-dependent field at the very lowest frequency shown in Fig. 2, $1/R=0.005$. Studies of finite-size effects in the MD regime are in progress.⁹

An example of the agreement between the theoretical and simulated RTD's in the SD regime is shown in Fig.3. The residence time with no cutoff is defined as the interval between consecutive times when $m(t)$ crosses zero. We use a cutoff of 0.25 to exclude events when $m(t)$ crosses and recrosses zero within a time interval much shorter than the period of the field oscillation. The theoretical curve is obtained by considering the switching as a Poisson process with a variable rate, identical to the field-dependent nucleation rate. All the parameters in the theoretical expression were obtained from field-reversal experiments. The peak strength S_j is defined as the area under the j -th peak in the RTD. The frequency dependence of the peak strengths is shown in Fig. 4. A similar analysis has been used in a study of stochastic resonance in a bistable process with Gaussian noise.¹² In that case, the frequency at which S_1 has a maximum corresponds to the mean escape rate due to the noise alone. As seen in Fig. 4, S_1 does not pass through a maximum although the other S_j do show the maxima characteristic of stochastic resonance. In contrast to the system studied in Ref. 12, the Ising model used in our simulations is in a parameter regime where the thermal relaxation time at zero applied field is practically infinite. Reasonable switching times are obtained only when both the applied field and thermal fluctuations are present. For S_1 to display a maximum, the switching time due to thermal fluctuations alone would have to be smaller than the period of $H(t)$. As a consequence, there is no maximum in S_1 for practically attainable frequencies.

In summary, our results show distinct differences between the MD and SD regimes with respect to the response of a kinetic Ising system to an oscillating external field. We present a good fit to the average hysteresis loop area in the MD region, using a droplet picture to describe the decay of the metastable phase in a time-dependent field. This is a one-parameter fit using data in the very low frequency range. The other parameters come from simulations

and theory for the instantaneous field-reversal case. In addition, we show an essentially parameter-free theoretical result for the RTD's in the SD regime. The agreement between theory and simulation is quite good. The plot of the peak strengths S_j vs. frequency shows that S_j for $j > 1$ have maxima indicative of stochastic resonance.¹² Whereas our data do not have a maximum in S_1 , the maxima for $j > 1$ suggest a competition between a stochastic timescale, the lifetime, and a deterministic timescale, the period of $H(t)$.

Supported in part by FSU-MARTECH, FSU-SCRI under DOE Contract No. DE-FC05-85ER25000, and NSF Grants No. DMR-9315969 and DMR-9520325.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Y.L. He and G.C. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **15**, 2336 (1993).
- ² M. Rao, H.R. Krishnamurthy, and R. Pandit, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 856 (1990).
- ³ M. Acharyya and B.K. Chakrabarti, *Annual Reviews of Computational Physics I*, edited by D. Stauffer (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- ⁴ T. Tomé and M.J. de Oliveira, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 4251 (1990).
- ⁵ W.S. Lo and R.A. Pelcovits, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 7471 (1990).
- ⁶ P.A. Rikvold, H. Tomita, S. Miyashita, and S.W. Sides, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 5080 (1994).
- ⁷ H.L. Richards, et. al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **150**, 37 (1995); Phys. Rev. B **54**, 4113 (1996); submitted to Phys. Rev. B.
- ⁸ S.W. Sides, R.A. Ramos, P.A. Rikvold, and M.A. Novotny, J. Appl. Phys. **79**, 6482 (1996).
- ⁹ S.W. Sides, R.A. Ramos, P.A. Rikvold, and M.A. Novotny, in preparation.
- ¹⁰ K. Binder and D.W. Heermann, *Monte Carlo Simulation in Statistical Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).
- ¹¹ K. Sekimoto, J. Mod. Phys. B, **5** 1843 (1991).
- ¹² L. Gammaitoni, F. Marchesoni, and S. Santucci, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1052 (1994).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Probability distributions of the period averaged magnetization, $Q=(\omega/2\pi) \oint m dt$, for (a) the MD regime and (b) the SD regime for several values of R . Note: the asymmetries in some of the distributions are due to the finite length of the simulations.

FIG. 2. Mean values of the hysteresis loop areas A , shown vs. frequency in the MD regime. The frequency is given in units of the inverse lifetime in static field, $1/R$. The “error bars” give the standard deviations for the loop-area distributions. The solid line is a fit, described in the text. (Note: the points at $1/R=1/12, 1/80, 1/140$ and $1/200$ are calculated from shorter time series, 6.0×10^5 MCSS.)

FIG. 3. Residence time distribution for the SD regime with $R=10$, corresponding to a period of $2\pi/\omega=20580$ MCSS. The time axis is scaled by $2\pi/\omega$. The circles are data from MC simulations. The solid line is a theoretical result. Note: The binsize must be multiplied by the period of the field for the correct normalization.

FIG. 4. Peak strengths, S_j , vs. frequency for the first four peaks in the residence time distributions in the SD regime. The curves are from the same theoretical calculation used for the RTD. The set of three points near frequency $1/R=0.1$ corresponds to the data in Fig. 3.







